

### REMARKS

Claims 65 to 67, 78 to 80, 86, and 87 are in the application, with Claims 65 and 78 being independent. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 65 to 67, 78 to 80, 86, and 87 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,793,723 (Auslander) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,137,512 (Higuma). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

According to a feature of the invention as recited by Claims 65 and 78, the ink comprises first and second organic compounds which are incompatible with each other.

The Office Action concedes that Auslander does not explicitly disclose the above-discussed feature. Nevertheless, the Office Action takes the position that this feature would have been obvious. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Applicants respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one skilled in the art to arrive at the above-discussed feature.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from the independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

In any event, Auslander is not prior art to the subject application by virtue of the filing dates of the following foreign priority applications: Japan 2000-240314, filed August 8, 2000; Japan 2000-240492, filed August 8, 2000; Japan 2000-354169, filed

November 21, 2000; and Japan 2000-354185, filed November 21, 2000. Sworn translations of these applications are being submitted herewith. Sworn translations of foreign priority applications Japan 2001-232792, filed July 31, 2001, and Japan 2001-232931, filed July 31, 2001 are also being submitted. In accordance with MPEP § 201.15, the Examiner is respectfully requested to confirm for himself that Applicants are entitled to their priority date, and upon such confirmation, to remove Auslander as a reference against the subject application.

Applicants have not yet received an indication that the documents cited in the July 7, 2006 Information Disclosure Statement have been considered. The Examiner is respectfully requested to return an initialed copy of the Form PTO-1449 that accompanied the Information Disclosure Statement.

The application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa,  
California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should be directed to  
our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Damond E. Vadnais", is written over a horizontal line.

Damond E. Vadnais  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 52,310

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3800  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA\_MAIN 119175v1